# Effects of chemical and biological treatments on germ ination of on ion (Allium cepa L.) seeds

W E I Ya-hong<sup>1</sup>, Dorna H<sup>2</sup>, Tylkow ska K<sup>2</sup>

(1 College of Resources and Environment, Northwest Sci<sup>+</sup>Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China; 2 Department of Seed Science and Technology, Poznan Agricultural University, Baranowo, Prze żmierowo 62-081, Poland)

[Abstract] The effects of o smopriming (OP) in PEG 8000 and hydropriming (HP) on onion "Wolska" seed germ ination were studied Moreover, fungicides (Penncozeb and Apron) and biological (Biozym, Promot) treatments on seed germ ination were investigated After o smopriming at 10 all the T values and MGT were reduced significantly compared with control Hydrop in ing at 10 gave the better results than o smopriming On the other hand, T values and MGT after hydropriming at 20 did not differ significantly from those in control an OP. Fungicide treatment at 10 delayed speed of germ ination All the T values and MGT in fungicide treatment at 20 did not differ significantly from control Biological treatments did not affect their speed of germ ination both at 10 and 20. OP and HP at 10 significantly improved germ ination capacity (GC) compared with control Biozym and Promot treatments, o smopriming and hydropriming at 20 did not affect significantly germ ination capacity (GC) compared with control

 $[\,Key\,words\,]\quad on ion;\, seed\,\, gemm\,\, in at ion; prim\,\, ing;\, fungicide;\, bio\, logical\,\, agent$ 

[CLC number] S633 204<sup>+</sup>. 1

[Document code] A

[A rticle **D**] 1671-9387 (2003) 05-0051-06

Onion (A llium cepa L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops Because of its unique flavour and odour, it is an excellent food source in the world It is also an important vegetable crop in Poland, about 700 thousands tons of onion bulbs are produced in Poland yearly. However, onion seeds usually have a poor quality. Various seed treatments are used widely to improve seed quality. Out of them, seed priming is very common and effective, which has been devised to improve the rate and uniform ity of seed germ ination as well as seed viability<sup>[1]</sup>. Preplant priming improvements were greater in poor seeds than in more vigorous seeds<sup>[2,3]</sup>. The effects of priming include increasing gem ination rate; more uniform em ergence; under broader gem ination range environments; improving seedling vigour and g row th [4].

The treatment of seeds with microorganisms which are beneficial to plant growth has been the subject of considerable investigation for many years, often with mixed results [5]. Many fungi and bacteria have been tested as seed treatments to provide short-term protection against seed rots and damping-off fungi (Py thium spp.) in the soil<sup>[6]</sup>. In this function, biological seed treatments largely have been effective because the pathogens are limited in time and space and the area of host tissue available for infection is relatively small and can be effectively covered with antagonists<sup>[7]</sup>. m icroorganisms have been collectively called bioprotectants Fungi (Trichodem a spp.) and bacteria (Enterobacter and Pseudomonas spp.) have been tested for this purpose

Penncozeb 80 W P (a i 80% mancozeb) was applied for treating seeds in this experiment

<sup>[</sup>收稿日期] 2002-11-15

<sup>[</sup>作者简介] 卫亚红(1973-),女,陕西扶风人,讲师,硕士,主要从事微生物方面的研究。

M aneb is one of the organic sulfur fungicides which is sometimes mixed with zinc ion and results in the formulations known as zinc ion maneb, called mancozeb. The addition of zinc reduces the phytotoxicity of maneb and improves its fungicidal properties<sup>[8]</sup>.

The main goal of this research was to investigate the effects of priming and fungicide and biological treatments on germination of onion seeds

#### 1 M aterials and methods

#### 1. 1 Seeds

Onion 'Wolska' seeds supplied by CNOS Seed Company in Pozna were used in the experiment

#### 1. 2 Hydroprim ing

Seeds were placed in 100 mL flasks and 500  $\mu$ L of distilled water per 1 g of seeds was added. Then flasks were sealed with Parafilm and incubated in darkness at 20 for 2 days. A fterwards, the seeds were surface dried with blotting paper, placed in sem i-open Petri dishes and dried back at 20 and 45% relative humidity for 48 h to an equilibrium moisture content

#### 1. 3 Osmoprim ing

Seeds were primed for 7 days in darkness at 15 by placing 50 seeds in 9 cm diameter Petri dishes on 4 blotters moistened with 5 mL of Polyethylene glycol (PEG 8000, Sigma Chemical Co.) solution of the osmotic potential of - 1. 5 M Pa The Petri dishes were sealed with Parafilm. After priming the seeds from each replicate were washed separately under the running tap water for 5 m in and next rinsed three times in sterile distilled water to remove PEG. Then, they were surface dried with blotting paper. Afterwards, the seeds were placed in semi-open Petri dishes and dried back at 20 and 45% R. H. for 48 h to an equilibrium moisture content.

#### 1. 4 Fungicide treatment

Seeds were treated with Penncozeb 80 W P (a i 80% mancozeb) 5 g/kg seed and Apron 35 SD (a i 35% metalaxyl) 1 g/kg seed Both of the fungicides were recommended by the Institute of

Plant Protection in Poland

#### 1. 5 Biological treatment

Two microbial preparations were used for treating seeds: Biozym and Promot The former contains different bacteria, fungi were mainly Trichodema spp. and enzyme complexes The latter contains only Trichodema koning ii and T. harzianum. Both microbiological products were produced in JH Biotech, NC USA. Onion seeds were treated with Biozym and Promot 30 g/kg seed respectively.

#### 1. 6 Seed germ ination

Gem ination tests were performed according to the ISTA Rules<sup>[9]</sup>. They were conducted at 20 and 10 in darkness using 6 replicates of 50 seeds at each treatment Seeds were placed in 9 cm diameter Petri dishes containing 6 layers of blotting paper wetted with distilled water Seeds were considered as germ inating when there was a protrusion through the seed coat Gem inating seeds were counted daily until no new gems occurred and were removed daily from the dishes The following parameters of germination were calculated:  $G_{\text{max}}$  (the percentage of max im um germ inating seeds), germ ination rate  $(T_1, T_{10}, T_{25}, T_{10}, T_{10},$  $T_{50}$  and  $T_{90}$ , time to reach germination of 1%, 10%, 25%, 50% and 90% of the total number of germ inating seeds), germ ination uniform ity  $(U_{75-25},$ time between 25% and 75% of  $G_{\text{max}}$ ;  $U_{90-10}$ , time between 10% and 90% of total germination) and MGT (mean gemination time).

Moreover, six replicates of 50 seeds from each treatment were incubated under the same conditions for determination of: 1) the percentage of normal seedlings, i e germination capacity (GC); 2) deformed and diseased seedlings; 3) dead seeds; and 4) fresh non-germinated seeds All evaluations were made according to the ISTA Rules (1996) after 12 days

#### 1. 7 Data analysis

Seed Calculator version 2 1 software<sup>[10]</sup> was applied to analyse germination data All statistical results were evaluated by means of variance analysis (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's multiple

range test

#### 2 Results

Fungicide treatm ent delayed speed of germ ination, all the T values and M GT were higher than that of control (Table 1). Treating seeds with Biozym and Promot did not affect their speed of gem ination.

A fter o smoprim ing (OP), all the T values and MGT were reduced significantly compared with control

The values of  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$  and MGT for

hydroprimed seeds (HP) were even significantly lower than that for osmoprimed ones (OP). Hydroprim ing gave the better results than o smop rim ing.

After osmopriming (OP) and hydropriming (HP) at 10 the lower U values than in control were noticed However, the differences were not statistically significant Fungicides and biological preparations (Biozym and Promot) did not affect the uniformity of germination at this temperature compared with Control

Table 1 Effects of seed treatment on seed germ ination at 10

d

Treatment	T 1	T 10	T 25	T 50	T 90	M GT	U 75-25	U 90-10
Untreated	4. 79 b	6 04 b	6 84 b	7. 80 b	10 00 b	7. 95 b	2 03 a	3. 96 a
Fungicide	5. 41 a	6 80 a	7. 65 a	8 65 a	10 74 a	8 73 a	2 05 a	3. 95 a
B io zym	4 63 b	6 05 b	6 93 b	7. 93 b	9. 99 b	7. 99 b	2 05 a	3. 94 a
P rom o t	4. 74 b	6 00 b	6 78 b	7. 69 b	9. 66 b	7. 79 b	1. 88 a	3. 66 a
OP	4 00 c	5. 05 c	5. 73 c	6 55 c	8 65 c	6 77 c	1. 78 a	3. 60 a
HP	3 46 с	4. 46 d	5. 12 d	5. 95 d	8 16 с	6 20 d	1. 79 a	3. 71 a

Note: MGT. Mean germ in ation time; OP. O smotic priming; HP. Hydropriming. In columns followed by the same letters are not significantly different at  $\alpha=0.05$  level according to Duncan's multiple range test. The following tables are just the same

Treating seeds with fungicides did not affect their speed of germ ination compared with control All the T values and MGT in fungicide treatment did not differ significantly from control (Table 2).

biological preparation (Biozym and Promot) did not affect their speed of gem ination, considering the values T 25, T 50, T 90 and MGT in Biozym treatment as well as T 50, T 90 and MGT in Promot treatment

O smoprim ing (OP) did not improve speed of germ ination in spite of lower T values, i e T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and  $T_{25}$  were lower than control at 20

Generally, T values and MGT after hydroprim ing (HP) did not differ significantly from those in control and OP. Only T1, T10 and T25 were considerably lower.

A fter fungicide, B io zym and Promot treatments, seeds did not show any sign if ican t differences in both U values at 20 compared with control (Table 2).

The uniform ity values in OP treatment did not differ significantly from those in fungicide treatment, whereas, the values were significantly higher than that in control On the other hand, after hydropriming, the uniformity values were significantly high than in control

Table 2 Effects of seed treatment on seed germ ination at 20

d

Treatment	<i>T</i> 1	T 10	T 25	T 50	T 90	M GT	U 75-25	U 90-10
U ntreated	2 12 a	2 58 a	2 91 ab	3. 36 ab	5. 03 cd	3. 67 ab	1. 10 c	2 45 c
Fungicide	1. 92 ab	2 56 a	3 01 a	3 62 a	5. 57 bc	3 94 a	1. 43 bc	3. 01 bc
B io zym	1. 77 b	2 32 b	2 70 bc	3 20 b	4. 70 d	3 42 b	1. 15 c	2 38 c
Promot	1. 81 b	2 31 b	2 66 с	3 14 b	4. 89 cd	3 45 b	1. 15 c	2 58 c
OP	1. 70 b	2 20 b	2 58 с	3 15 b	5. 93 ab	3 72 ab	1. 55 b	3. 73 b
НР	0 39 с	1. 17 c	1. 95 d	3 12 b	6 37 a	3. 52 b	2 69 a	5. 19 a

The highest percentage of germ inating seeds equal 91. 3 was observed in control  $(G_{\text{max}})$  at 10 (Table 3). Fungicides and Promot did not affect

significantly Gmax compared with control On the other hand, Biozym, osmopriming (OP) hydroprim ing (HP) considerably reduced this parameter.

Gem ination capacity (GC) for control at 10 was very low (18 0%). O smoprim ing (OP) and hydroprim ing (HP) significantly improved this parameter compared with control The lowest percentage of deformed seedlings was obtained in OP treatment (32 7%). The diseased seedlings

and dead seeds were not observed in fungicide treatment Considering fresh non-germ inated seeds, there were no significant differences in this parameter between Biozym, Promot treatments, osmopriming and control After hydropriming, the increase in the percentage of fresh non-germ inated seeds was observed compared with control and OP.

Table 3 Effects of seed treatment on germ ination parameters at 10

0/0

T reatm ent	$G_{ m max}$	GC	Deformed seed lings	D isea sed seed lings	Dead seeds	Fresh non- germ inated seeds
U ntreated	91. 3 a	18 0 c	69. 7 b	3. 0 a	5. 3 b	4. 0 b
Fungicide	87. 7 abc	15. 0 d	72 0 b	0 0 b	0 0 с	13 0 a
B io zym	83. 3 cd	8 0 e	80 7 a	0 7 b	7. 7 ab	3 0 b
P rom o t	89. 0 ab	17. 7 cd	71. 0 b	0 7 b	6 7 ab	4. 0 b
OP	86 3 bc	51. 7 a	32 7 d	1. 7 ab	10 7 a	3. 3 b
HP	79. 7 d	40 7 b	40 7 c	0 3 b	6 0 b	12 3 a

The highest percentage of germ inating seeds  $(G_{\text{max}})$  at 20 equal 90 0 was observed in Biozym treatment (Table 4). Biozym treatment gave better results than fungicide, Promot treatments and OP treatment, however, the differences were not statistically significant Fungicide, Promot and hydropriming (HP) treatments did not affect significantly  $G_{\text{max}}$  compared with control

Biozym and Promot treatments, osmopriming (OP) and hydropriming (HP) did not affect significantly germination capacity (GC) compared with control

There were no significant differences in the

percentage of deformed seedlings at 20 between control and all the treatments

The diseased seedlings were not observed in fungicide treatment. The lowest percentage of dead seeds from all the treatments was obtained in F treatments. Considering fresh non-germinated seeds, there were no significant differences in this parameter in Biozym, Promot treatments and osmopriming compared with control After hydropriming (HP), the increase in the percentage of fresh non-germinated seeds was observed compared with control and OP.

Table 4 Effects of seed treatment on germ ination parameters at 20

%

T reatm en t	$G_{\max}$	GC	Deformed seed lings	D isea sed seed lings	Dead seeds	Fresh non- gem inated seeds
U ntreated	82 0 bc	69. 0 b	9. 3 a	10 3 a	10 7 a	0 7 ь
Fungicide	86 0 ab	84. 0 a	7. 3 a	0 0 с	1. 3 c	7. 3 a
B io zym	90 0 a	72 0 b	11. 3 a	6 3 a	10 3 a	0 0 b
Promot	85. 7 ab	71. 3 b	8 7 a	8 0 a	11. 3 a	0.7 b
OP	89. 0 a	74. 3 b	8 7 a	6 0 a	10 3 a	0.7 ь
HP	75. 0 c	74.0 ь	8 0 a	2 7 b	5. 3 b	10 0 a

#### 3 Discussion

The values  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{25}$ ,  $T_{50}$  and M GT at 10 for hydroprimed seeds (HP) were even lower than that of osmoprimed ones (OP). Hydropriming gave the better results than osmopriming A fter hydropriming (HP) at 10 the lower U values than that of control were noticed Recently, more attention is paid to hydropriming in the restricted

volumes of water for the enhancement of seed performance. This method is more economical, ecological and more useful than osmopriming and matriconditioning for conditioning of large volumes of seeds Hydropriming is a simple and inexpensive priming method used to invigorate seed, which involves hydration of seeds in distilled water followed by dehydration<sup>[11]</sup>. However, it is difficult to control the amount of water absorbed by

seed<sup>[12]</sup>. A developed method, in which *B rassica oleracea* seeds were hydrated for short periods of up to 8h in columns of aerated water has been showed to result in clear and consistent improvements in germ ination rate, seedling root length and seed vigour<sup>[13]</sup>. Hydropriming would be beneficial to scale-up hydroprimed seed in the practice

O smopriming accelerated seed germ ination at 10. However there was no improvement in the speed of germ ination at 20. Brocklehurst and Dearman [14] found that after onion seeds were primed in PEG solution, the mean germ ination time was reduced by 3-5 days At the same time, their study on seedling emergence also revealed that

prim ing generally reduced the spread of emergence times<sup>[15]</sup>. A li et al <sup>[16]</sup> also investigated the effect of prim ing in PEG solution on onion seed germ ination, and reported that most of the treatments led to a rapid germ ination response. Tylkow ska and van den Bulk<sup>[17]</sup> showed that prim ing resulted in faster and more uniform germ ination for all three carrot seed lots, although the uniform ity of germ ination as determined by the  $U_{75-25}$  values was not always significantly better after prim ing

No reports were found on the effects of Promot and Biozym treatments on onion seed germination up to now. Hence, further research should be continued

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Seed Company CNOS in Pozna for providing seeds used in the study. Thanks are due to the M inistry of Education of the P. R. of China, for providing the opportunity for WEIYa-hong as a visiting scholar in Poland for one year.

### [参考文献]

- [1] Heydecker W, Coolbear P. Seed treatments for improved performance- Survey and attempted prognosis [J]. Seed Sci & Tech, 1977, 5: 353-425.
- [2] Szafirowska A, Khan A A, Peck N H. Osmoconditioning of carrot seeds to improve seedling establishment and yield in cold soil[J]. A gronomy Journal, 1981, 73: 845-848
- [3] Khan A A, Abawi G S, Maguire J D. Integrating matriconditioning and fungicidal treatment of table beet seed to improve stand establishment and yield[J]. Crop Sci, 1992, 32: 231-237.
- [4] M Donald M B. Seed priming [A]. Black M, Bewley Y D. Seed technology and its biological basis [C]. England Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press CRC, 1995, 287-317.
- [5] Weller D.M. Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria [J]. A nnual Review of Phytopathology, 1988, 26: 379-407.
- [6] Maude R B. Disease control: eradication and reduction of inoculum by seed treatment[A]. Seedborne diseases and their control[M]. Cambridge U K, 1996-173-177.
- [7] Paulitz T. Biological control of damping off diseases with seed treatments [A]. Tjamos EC, Papavizas GC, Cook RJ, et al Biological control of plant diseases [C]. New York: Plenum Press, 1992 145-156
- [8] Agrios G.N. Direct protection by chemical controls[A]. Plant pathology[C]. California: A cademic Press, 1997. 200-216
- [9] ISTA. International rules for seed testing [J]. Seed Sci and Technol supplement, Switzerland, 1996 24: 122- 123
- [10] Jalink H, van der Schoor R. Seed calculator 2 1. license number: 100200122 [J]. Plant Research International, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 1999, 7: 97- 99.
- [11] Hanson A D. The effects of imbibition drying treatments on wheat seeds[J] New Phytologist, 1973, 72: 1063- 1073
- [12] Fujikura Y, Kraak HL, Basra AS, et al Hydroprin ing, a simple and inexpensive prin ing method [J]. Seed Sci Tech, 1993, 21: 639-642
- [13] Thornton J M, Powell A A. Short term aerated hydration for the improvement of seed quality in *B rassica oleracea* L. [J]. Seed Science Research, 1992, 2: 41-49.
- [14] Brocklehurst PA, Dearman J. Interaction between seed priming treatments and nine seed lots of carrot, celery and onion: I. Laboratory germ ination[J] Ann Appl Biol, 1983a, 102: 577-584
- [15] Brocklehurst PA, Dearman J. Interaction between seed priming treatments and nine seed lots of carrot, celery and onion: II. Seedling emergence and plant grow th[J]. Ann Appl Biol, 1983b, 102: 585-593
- [16] A li A, M achado V S, Hamill A S O smoconditioning of tomato and onion seeds [J]. Scientia Horticulturae, 1990, 43: 213-224
- [17] Tylkow ska K, VAN DEN Bulk R W. Effects of o smo-and hydroprim ing on fungal infestation levels and germ ination of carrot (*D aucus carota* L.) seeds contaminated with *A lternaria* spp. [J]. Seed Sci & Technol, 2001, 29: 365-375.

## 化学和生物制剂处理对洋葱种子萌发的影响

卫亚红<sup>1</sup>, Dorna H<sup>2</sup>, Tylkow ska K<sup>2</sup> (1 西北农林科技大学 资源环境学院, 陕西 杨陵 712100; 2 波兹南农业大学 种子科学技术系, 波兰 波兹南 62-081)

摘 要: 研究了洋葱种子"Wolska"在 PEG 8000 和水引发条件下的萌发情况,以及杀菌剂(Penncozeb 硫锌制剂和Apron 爱普荣)和生物制剂(Biozym 生物合剂, Promot 助长剂)对洋葱种子萌发的影响。结果表明, 10 PEG 引发处理中, 所有的达到最大萌发率的时间和平均萌发时间较对照极显著减小, 在该温度下, 水引发处理效果比 PEG 引发好; 20 时, 水引发处理达到最大萌发率的时间和平均萌发时间与对照及 PEG 处理间没有显著差异。杀菌剂处理在 10 时延缓了萌发速度, 而在 20 时所有处理达到最大萌发率的时间和平均萌发时间与对照无显著差异。生物制剂处理在 10 和 20 下对萌发速度均没有改善;与对照相比, 10 的 PEG 引发与水引发处理极大地改善了萌发力; 20 时的生物制剂处理 PEG 引发和水引发处理与对照相比,均未显著改善萌发力。

关键词: 洋葱: 种子萌发: 引发剂: 杀菌剂: 生物制剂

## 欢迎订阅 2004 年《中国农学通报》学术期刊

《中国农学通报》是中国科协主管、中国农学会主办,两院院士、著名农业科学家石元春先生任主编的农业综合性学术期刊,也是国家科技部"中国科技核心期刊"、中国科协优秀学术期刊和全国优秀农业期刊。主要刊登种植业、养殖业、农牧产品贮藏加工业等方面的国家级和省部级基金项目所资助的研究论文、学术报告、文献综述等,栏目设置有作物遗传育种、种质资源、耕作栽培、生理生态、植物保护、土壤肥料、节水灌溉、园艺园林、贮藏保鲜加工、畜牧兽医、资源昆虫和研究简报等;另外还开设了有关农业、农村、农民等社会经济发展的宏观社科栏目——三农论坛。读者对象为各级农牧科研人员、农业大中专院校师生、农牧行政管理干部、农技推广人员等。《中国农学通报》为双月刊,彩色封面,胶版印刷,逢单月30日出版,国内外公开发行,国内统一刊号为CN 11-1984/S,大16开本,200页,内文80克胶版纸印刷,每期定价15.00元,全年6期合计90.00元。本刊由北京报刊发行局面向全国公开发行,邮发代号2-772。如错过邮局订阅,可向本刊直接联系订阅,订购者邮局汇款:北京市朝阳区麦子店街20号中国农学会编辑出版部(银行转帐:开户银行:农行北京分行朝阳支行营业部,账号:040101040003509,户名:中国农学会);邮政编码:100026;电话:010-64194480;传真:010-64194705; Email: edit@cav.net.cn。网上投稿:www.casso.org.cn/qkbj/bjindex/bjindex.aspx